Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2254 Filed 12/11/13 Page 1 of 6

David J. Burman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
Cori G. Moore (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
Eric J. Weiss (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Nicholas H. Hesterberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>))
Steven D. Merriman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) PERKINS COIE LLP	
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900	
Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 206.359.8000	
Facsimile: 206.359.9000	
Joren Bass, State Bar No. 208143	
JBass@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP	
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131	
Telephone: 415.344.7120	
Facsimile: 415.344.7320	
Attorneys for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation	
Cosico wholesale Corporation	
UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
NOPTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
DUDE CATHODE DANTEURE (CDT)	G N 2.07 05044.00
IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC MDL NO. 1917
This Document Relates to:	Individual Case No.: 3:11-CV-06397-SC
Case No. 3:11-06397-SC	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,	ORDER REGARDING DISMISSAL O
Plaintiff,	COSTCO'S STATE LAW CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG SDI
riailiuili,	
v.	
HITACHI LTD., et al.,	
Defendants.	

1	WHEREAS, on November 14, 2011, Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Costco") filed a
2	Complaint and Jury Demand in the United States District Court for the Western District of
3	Washington, Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., Case No. 2:11-cv-01909-RSM, alleging
4	violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1), the California Cartwright Act (Cal.
5	Bus. & Prof. Code § 16700 et seq.), the Washington Consumer Protection Act (RCW 19.86.030),
6	the Arizona Antitrust Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 14-1401 et seq.), the Florida Deceptive and Unfair
7	Trade Practices Act (Florida Stat. § 501.201 et seq.), and the Illinois Antitrust Act (740 Illinois
8	Code 10/1 et seq.);
9	WHEREAS, on November 28, 2011, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation issued a
10	conditional transfer order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, transferring the Costco action to the
11	Northern District of California, to be consolidated with MDL No. 1917, In re Cathode Ray Tube
12	(CRT) Antitrust Litigation, No. 07-cv-5944-SC (the "MDL");
13	WHEREAS, the Costco Action was transferred to the Northern District of California;
14	WHEREAS, on August 17, 2012, various defendants filed a joint Motion to Dismiss and
15	for Judgment on the Pleadings as to Certain Direct Action Plaintiffs' Claims, including Costco's,
16	on various grounds (Dkt. No. 1317);
17	WHEREAS, on March 26, 2013, Direct Action Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File
18	Amended Complaints, which included a request by Costco to amend its complaint to add
19	Samsung SDI America, Inc., Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.,
20	Samsung SDI Mexico S.A de C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzhen Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.,
21	and Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. (collectively "SDI") as defendants (Dkt. No. 1609);
22	WHEREAS, on August 21, 2013, the Court issued an order adopting the Special Master's
23	recommendation that Costco's claim under Washington state law be dismissed without leave to
24	amend (Dkt. No. 1856);
25	WHEREAS, on September 26, 2013, the Court issued an order adopting the Interim
26	Special Master's recommendation that Costco be allowed to amend its complaint to add SDI as a
27	defendant (Dkt. No. 1959);
28	-1-

1	WHEREAS, on October 3, 2013, Costco filed a First Amended Complaint in the Norther			
2	District of California, Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Hitachi, Ltd., Case No. 3:11-cv-06397,			
3	Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC (Dkt. No. 1982), naming SDI as a defendant;			
4	WHEREAS, on November 4, 2013, SDI filed a Motion to Dismiss Costco's claims under			
5	the California Cartwright Act, the Arizona Antitrust Act, the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade			
6	Practices Act, and the Illinois Antitrust Act (Dkt. No. 2171);			
7	NOW, THEREFORE, COSTCO AND SDI, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE			
8	COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:			
9	1. Costco's claims against SDI under the California Cartwright Act, the Arizona			
10	Antitrust Act, the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, and the Illinois Antitrust Ac			
11	are dismissed with prejudice;			
12	2. SDI's Motion to Dismiss Costco's state-law claims is withdrawn as moot;			
13	3. This stipulation and the Court's order thereon shall have no bearing on Costco's			
14	Sherman Act claim against SDI;			
15	4. SDI's answer to Costco's First Amended Complaint shall be due on the first			
16	business day thirty (30) days after the Court enters this stipulation as an order; and			
17	5. The undersigned parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court enter this			
18	stipulation as an order.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	_ ? _			

- 2

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2254 Filed 12/11/13 Page 4 of 6

1	Dated: November 13, 2013	By: /s/ David J. Burman
2		David J. Burman (admitted pro hac vice)
		Cori G. Moore (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Eric J. Weiss (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
3		Nicholas H. Hesterberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
4		Steven D. Merriman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
_		PERKINS COIE LLP
5		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
6		Seattle, WA 98101-3099
7		Telephone: 206.359.8000 Facsimile: 206.359.9000
7		1 desimile. 200.337.7000
8		Joren Bass, State Bar No. 208143
9		JBass@perkinscoie.com
		PERKINS COIE LLP
10		Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
11		Telephone: 415.344.7120
11		Facsimile: 415.344.7320
12		
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation
14		By: /s/ Tyler M. Cunningham
15		GARY L. HALLING (66087)
1.0		Email: ghalling@sheppardmullin.com
16		JAMES L. McGINNIS (95788)
17		Email: jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH (203524)
1.0		Email: mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com
18		TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM (243694)
19		Email: tcunningham@sheppardmullin.com
• •		SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &
20		HAMPTON LLP
21		Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
22		San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: (415) 434-9100
22		Facsimile: (415) 434-3947
23		
24		Attorneys for Defendants Samsung SDI America,
24		Inc., Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI
25		(Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. de
26		C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzen Samsung SDI Co. Ltd. and Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.
26		22. 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
27		
28		- 3 -
		- J -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISMISSAL OF COSTCO'S STATE LAW CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG SDI Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2254 Filed 12/11/13 Page 5 of 6

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this
2	document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.
3	Dated: November 13, 2013
4	
5	By: /s/ David J. Burman
6	David J. Burman
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 4 -
	CTIDLII ATION AND IDDODOSEDI ODDED DECADDING DISMISSAI

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISMISSAL OF COSTCO'S STATE LAW CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG SDI Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2254 Filed 12/11/13 Page 6 of 6 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: Hon. Samuel A. Conti United States District Judge LEGAL28430194.2 - 5 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISMISSAL OF COSTCO'S STATE LAW CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG SDI Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC